P.F 12-00

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1896-97

~~191~~ .

(L-R)

File No. 89-96

Serial Nos. 1-11

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-11

In ~~Part~~ B for Feb 02

SUBJECT.

Compensation to Madar Sab for his lands submerged by the restoration of Marinkere tank

---

W D 1250—G P B—30,000 - 28-1-19

Trunkwell Dfs Court

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

969

Two items of expenditure have been admitted by the Dfs Court -

38-8-7 The costs of Venkata Lingaiah which the Dfs Court says the Petr is entitled to a refund.

200-00 Madappa Court's low valuation of improvement -

There is no reason why these two just items should not be refunded to the Petr. Even again the Petr has applied for Rs 35 from a third party whereas he seems to have obtained only a portion of the amount of 54-0 which was the price fixed by the vendor at the auction sale - A proportionate amount seems to be deducted on account of S. 2-48 which the Petr is understood to be allowed to retain.

With regard to the valuation of the improvements it has to be considered with reference to the interests the Petr

Dfs Court
Petr
Venkata Lingaiah
Madappa
Petr
Petr

Encl: docket
Cut-up
4/12/10.

realized in that year -
The question whether
we are considered in whether
the improvements referred
exclusively with cultivation
of that year or are such
as to continue for the
benefit of the land
in subsequent years also
If they were of the latter
description the Ryt who
lost the benefit of them
seems entitled to a
refund. I don't think
we should be able to
ask him why the
compensation in other
amounts should not
be paid to the Ryt
I point out that
his report was delayed
for nearly three Yrs
which is not satisfactory

26.IX.00

to take water to his own
as well as others lands
and thereon dams at 4
points in them; and that ac-
cording to the villagers
he had spent a sum
of Rs 302.4.0 in clearing
and reclaiming the then
Amrit Mahal Kaval lands
in which Jali and other
thorny trees had grown;
that the Collector recom-
-mends compensation
to peta of Rs 721-10-0 as
per details below

i Rs 3|7.8.0 for cutting cha-
-nnels 3080 c.yards
at one anna per yard

ii 40-0-0 for drawing 4
dams.

iii 302.4-0 for clearing and
reclaiming 30 acres
9 guntas of kaval

iv 23.5.0. Value of the portion
of S.No 8 Gaon 48 pur-
chased by from one
Syed Hussain

v 38.8.4. Protecting bunds

721.10.0.

The Dy Comr further re-
-ports

ports that the petr appears
to have had no knowledge
or warning of the temporary
character of his holding
or of the likelihood of the
restoration of the Marimahalli tanks;
and that the petr prays
for the grant of lands either
under the Marimahalli
or Idagur tanks in lieu
of compensation. but that
he (Dy Comr) thinks that
money compensation would
be advantageous to Govt.

3/12/01

Subd to 1st Councillor.
The Dy Comr's recommendation
may be sanctioned.

4/12/1901

RD
4/12/01
1125

Sanction

4/12/1902

17/12

17/12/02

Offt: Reqs & Instr:
Part exp. Rec 19-2-02

Oct 1815

(2)

17-6-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 3459 dated 24-5-97

received at the Dewan's Office from

Mirza Saib, son of Mirza Ismail Saib residing at Gubbi appealing against the Amildar's Judgment dated 15-5-97 and praying that [illegible] may be granted in exchange for [illegible] by the Mavinahalli land

LR 84-96-2

is forwarded, together with its enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner, of Tumkur District, for disposal.

2. The Deputy Commissioner is directed to satisfy the grievance of the petitioner if he is entitled to any relief. If he is not entitled to have the land in exchange

By order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

Dated 9 June 1897

17

15/6/97

on account of the land
purchased by him has
been submerged.

7. That the petitioner
prays that his compla
may be duly considered
and that orders may
be passed directing
the grant of other lands
or compensation for
the land account
together with the costs
occasioned by him
towards
the improvement
of the lands which were
since submerged

The particulars of the
petition are;—

(1). Deputy Commi
Kundakkadu
29, & the petitio
declining to
him other la
or compensa

(2) Copy of Revenue
according the
of the Karaikal land
Thirunaravi village

6/8/97

The Sch Com's report has
been received

The report shows that the
Lands subsequently submerged
by the restoration of the
Tanks were in the pet's
possession for only one
year & that at the
most he could have
spent only Rs 200 in
improving the lands
The Sch Com's states that
the pet'r is entitled
to a refund of the
charges incurred by the
pet'r in putting up
boundary marks to the
lands in question
He has been already
paid the price of occupancy
of the lands in question

by 21/8/80

Post Reg.
31-7-97.
Reference

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. No. 353 [illegible]
DATE 31-7-97

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

17/3/93 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 56

76 84 85 87 89 90 92 93 94 80 —

[illegible] 35 [illegible]

[illegible] 86 48 —

[illegible]

88 —

[illegible] 81 82

[illegible]

[illegible] 68 —

[illegible] 61—4— [illegible]

42—9—7 = [illegible] 103—13—7 [illegible]

[illegible]

2. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3 [illegible]

[illegible]

24-7-47

11

14

12

13

1 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಹುಣಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಲ್ಲಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಾಸಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 126 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1 ಎಕರೆ 27 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

2 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

3 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

4 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

5 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

6 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

7 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

8 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

9 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

10 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

Pet 311 (4) 23-8-97.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

No. 355 dated 27-7-97

received at the Dewan's Office from

C. Madar Sab of Gubbi

appealing against the order

of the Deputy Commissioner of

declining to grant compensation for the lands submerged by the restoration of Manchanbelle tank,

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

3. The petition with its enclosures should be returned.

2. The Dy Comr is specially requested to report how long the land was in the pets possession and whether ~~By order, the pets~~ has spent any money for the improvement of the tank and if so how much; the proofs he adduces in support of his claim should also be considered and the result reported.

BANGALORE, 189

28/8/97

Chief Secretary.

By order,

Secretary.

M.G.C.P.E.—25-4-98—1,000.

K.R. 82-96-4

19/8/97

887.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT — 1900

5

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev: Depts
Bangalore

Dated 22nd June 1900.
Despatched 23rd
Received
General No. 969
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

In Memorandum No: 31 of the 23rd August 1897

Submitting a report in re the Appeal Petition from Nadar Sal- of Gubbi.

Gen: Records.

Please put up G. O. No: 7126 / 1275 dt 27-2-97 as requested

Petn: Br: — June is a Single Petn: Br: — Please treat the req: as per Petn: No 355 of 1897-98 dt: This file was originally received with Petn: Br: and was separated as per endorsement on Petn: No 355 of 1897-98 dt 2/6/00.

27/6/00

Rev: Branch for Kind compliance with reference to the above remarks.

29.6.900

Rev: Branch

Please put up the file relating to Petn No: 558 of 97-98 as requested by the Genl Records.

27-6-00

(R.R. 821-96-5)

27/6/900 ಈ ಫೈಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅನಕಲಿ ನಂಬರ್ 236 ಫೈಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರಿಸಿ ಈ ಫೈಲನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆ.ಗ.ಂ.ಅ

Rev: Branch for Kind compliance with reference to the above remarks.

29.6.900

Rev: Branch

Please put up the file relating to Petn No: 355 of 1897-98 as requested by the Genl Records.

11/8/00

Rev: Branch

Please put up the file relating to Petn No: 355 of 1897-98 as requested by the Genl Records.

11/8/00

Rev: Branch

Please put up the file relating to Petn No: 355 of 1897-98 as requested by the Genl Records.

11.14.00

No. 969

Rec. 1 file.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District.

Dated. Tumkur, 22nd June 1900.

To.

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General & Revenue Departments.
Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your official Memorandum No. 311 of the 23d August 1897, forwarding for report a petition from Madar Sab of Gubbi, appealing against my order declining to grant him compensation for his lands submerged by the restoration of the Mavinhalli tank, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2 On the restoration of the Chengavi tank, the Chengavi Amrit Mahal Kaval was transferred to the Revenue Department for purposes of wet cultivation — The Kaval was divided into small numbers and the right of occupancy of each number was sold by public auction on the 17th March 1893. At this sale, petitioner Madar Sab purchased

purchased in his own name for Rs 8 the marginally noted 11 numbers, measuring a. G. 19 - 26 and assessed at Rs 44-4, and numbers 60, 88, 81, 82 and 68, measuring a G 8. 1 and assessed at Rs 18-8 in the names of his friends for Rs 6. 8. 0. He also obtained by private purchase from Syed Hussein for Rs 35, lands, numbers 86 and 48 measuring a g 3 - 33 assessed at Rs 10. 8. 0 for which the latter had paid Rs 5. 4. 0 at the public auction of 17th March 1893. Thus petitioner held 13 numbers covering an area of a G 31 . 20 assessed at Rs 73. 4-0 for which he had paid Rs 49. 8. 0.

Nos. 56, 76, 80, 84, 85, 87, 89, 90, 92, 93 and 94.

When?

2/3 of 85 = 23-5-4.

3. On the restoration of the Mavunkalli tank in the following year, all the above mentioned lands along with others similarly situated (with the exception of 1 acre and

11 gunlas

Irgulas in Survey number 48 which is still under occupancy) were submerged. Petitioner claims Compensation for the submerged lands or for the grant of other lands in lieu thereof.

4. As regards the request of the petitioner in the matter of compensation, I beg to invite reference to my letter n° 627 dated 15th January 1897, and Government order thereon n° 7126/1275 of the 6th February 1897, from which it will be seen that the persons who purchased lands which formed the Chengavi Anicut Mahal Kaval were fully aware of the improvements that were being carried out to the Marimallai Tank in the bed of which the Kaval land was situated and of the fact that the lands they purchased would be submerged on the completion of the work. On this ground a refund of the purchase money was deemed sufficient compensation. Petitioner's

Case

case was therefore dealt with along with that of other purchasers and a sum of Rs 16.10.3 was refunded to him for the 18 numbers in his occupancy.

5. The 18 numbers mentioned in para 2 were in Petitioner's occupancy for only a single year, Viz, 1893-94. They were struck off his Khata in 1894-95.

6. As to the improvements to the lands in question which Petitioner says he carried out at a cost of over Rs 1000, he has produced no reliable proof. The evidence of the witnesses examined by him on this point, some of whom were cultivators under him, is vague and not precise. Petitioner himself has kept no account of the expenditure incurred by him. Taking into consideration the fact that the lands in question, being the bed of a tank, were of uniform level, it seems to me that Petitioner may have incurred but a small expenditure

expenditure in bringing the lands under cultivation, probably not more than Rs 200, as estimated by Petitioner's witness. Annapaya (vide paper no 23 in the record)

7. Madar Sab has paid Rs 38.8.7 on account of the cost of the Bandhs erected by Government on the lands taken up by him. He seems entitled to a refund of this amount.

8. Petitioner's request for grant of land in lieu of the submerged lands in question is clearly inadmissible. The case of the late Regimentdar Ramakao Pannavar quoted by the petitioner was treated as a special one in consideration of his services to Government, and the lands granted to him were given without sale.

9. Madar Sab's petition and its enclosures are, herewith, returned together with the Vernacular records relating

to the case

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Narasimha Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

urgt

(6)

~~189~~ 1900.

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To The Dy. Comr., Kaduba District.

ZR 82-96
86

Dated 15th — 10 — 1900.

Despatched 16

Received

General No. 3164
R. No. 12 of 1900 (480)

Enclosure No. 1 file

Ofic letter No. 962/322-6-00 Reporting on the appeal petn from Fraser Sale & Gubbi Ryt. in re — The compensation due on his land submerged by the Construction of the Ravindalli tank.

In returning the records received with the above, for reference and resubmission, has the honor to observe as follows.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

(1). Two items of expenditure have been admitted by the Dy. Comr. as marginally noted. There is no reason why these two petty items should not be refunded to the petr. Then again the petr bought one of these lands for Rs 35-0-0 from a third party, whereas he seems to have obtained only a fraction of the amount of Rs 5-4-0 which was the price paid to govt by his vendor, at the auction sale. A proportionate amount seems properly deductible on account of S. No 48, which the petr is, it is understood, yet allowed to retain.

(2). With regard to the

* (1) Rs 38-8-5 as per the cost of bandhs &c., of which the Dy. Comr. says, the petr is entitled to a refund.

(2) Rs 200-0-0. The Dy. Comr. low valuation of the improvement.

(7)

(General Serial No. 30.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 367
NOV. 28 1900
Dept. No. 186
GOVERNMENT OFFICE

1900-1

Dept 186

From The Secretary: Commissioner Trustees Port

To The Secretary to the Govt of Straits Settlements General Office Singapore

Dated 22nd November 1900.
Despatched 26th November 1900.
Received

General No. 497 / 1900-1.
No.

Enclosures Number. 1 file
His letter No: 3164 dated the Port 12-11-00.
15th October, 1900.

Submitting a report in re compensation claimed by Inadan Sahib & Family.

Dept 11
20.11.00

Regd Postage (0.8 29/11/00)

All
30/11/00

Read
mm
4.4.00

H. 84-967

Send to the Secretary to Commissioners this office desires to know this is a reply is in the file.
Yes
Trustees

Rd 5pm
7. XII. 00.

Why so many months should be taken to come to a decision upon the facts of the case? The Report of the Investigation Committee appointed to enquire into the matter was received on the 15th October. He may also be requested to state clearly whether this Settlement considers the amount of the compensation claimed reasonable & what it would be taken away when the repairs of the Marinbath Tank have been completed

10.1.01

Read 4 P.M. for draft
17/1 dated
2/1/01

...ty Commi...
Tumkur Distr...

Camp: Kulbarnahally 22nd November 1900

No 499
Gen I file
To.

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket no. 3164 P&A 12-1900 dated the 15th October last, re Compensation Claimed by Madar Saib of Gubbi for his lands submerged by the restoration of the Maconhalla tank, I have the honor to resubmit the Vernacular papers connected with the subject and to state as follows:-

2. The sum of the bonds erected on the lands in question (Rs 38-8-7, which was recovered from Madar Saheb should be refunded to him.

3. In the absence of ... proof which Madar Saib has failed to produce, and having regard to the fact that the lands in question formed the bed of a tank and were of a uniform level, I am not prepared to admit that Madar Saheb was

was put to much expense in bringing the
lands under cultivation. All that he
and others who similarly took up lands
in the neighbourhood, had to do was, I
believe, to get the land divided into terraces
for paddy cultivation. Being the first year
of cultivation, much of manure could not
probably have been used. It is also
improbable that with a likelihood of
losing the lands as soon as the Mavinhalla
tank was completed, any person of Madar
Saheb's intelligence would have risked any
money on such improvements as would con-
tinue for the benefit of the land in subse-
quent years. I have reason to believe that
for the small expenditure Madar Saheb
and others incurred in getting the lands
cultivated, they have been amply compensated
by the yield of the rice crop of the year,
which must have been a very good one, the
soil being fresh and impregnated with
good silt manure. For these reasons,
I am of opinion that Madar Saheb
is

is not entitled to any payment from Government on account of the so called improvements, the nature of which it is not possible to determine at this distance of time.

4. I have no objection to Madan Saheb being paid the price he paid for no:86, after deducting the sum of Rs 54-0 which he has already received. This will amount to Rs. 18-3-9.

5. The question of payment for improvements and cost of cultivation will affect a large number of persons who, Equally with Madan Saheb lost their lands consequent on the improvement of the Marina Salt Works.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Nicholas Dalrymple
for Acting Commissioner

Hy

1901
~~189~~ I (8)

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Tumkur
~~Hassan~~ Dt

L.R. 84-96-8

Dated 29-1-1901

Despatched

Received 29

General No. 5361.

No. P.926

Enclosure No. Petn & file herewith

His letter No 499 dated 22.11.00, reporting with reference to the appeal of Madar Sahi of Gubbi in re his claim for compensation, that in the absence of tangible proof which the petr has failed to produce, he (Dy Comr) is not prepared to admit that the petr was put to much expense in bringing the lands under cultivation, that being the first year of cultivation, much of manure could not have probably have been used; that it is also improbable that Madar Sahi would have risked any money towards

towards the improvement of the lands when there was a likelihood of his losing the lands on the completion of Mavinhalli lands; and that he has reason to believe that for the small expenditure the pattis had incurred, he (pattis) was amply compensated by the yield of the rice crop of the year which must have been a very good one; and that he is of opinion that the pattis is not entitled to any payment on account of the so called improvements, which it is not impossible to determine at this distance of time.

In returning the Ver. records and the pattis he received with the above, for reference and resubmission, has the honor to observe that there are several surmises and probabilities, which the Deputy is requested to reduce to facts or findings after the necessary investigation and to submit for the information of Govt, together with a clear report as to whether the pattis had any knowledge or warning of the tentative character of his holding and that it would ere long be taken away from him when the repairs of the Mavinhalli tank were completed.

By order

10-1-01

for Secretary

Sealed with the office note on the abstract of petn No 355, contents.

A 3/12-01

(9)

OFFICE OF THE 6875 21-V-01

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Department Bangalore

Dated 18th

Despatched November 1901

Received

General No. 491

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Secys docket no 3561 & 29th Jany 1901

" Pet-926

Submitting a report re the expenses incurred by Madar Sabi of Subbi, for bringing his lands submerged by the restoration of the Mavinkatte Tank, under cultivation

" "

Rev Pet.
Y.U.A.

Refers putup

23/11

Commissioner
Tumkur

Tumkur 18th November 1901

From

B G Krishnaiengar Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To.

H. V. Ranganadayya Esqre M. A. Bar-at-Law
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your docket no $\frac{5561}{6926}$ of the 29th June 1901, requesting to investigate and report the actual expenses incurred by petitioner Madar Sahi of Sirsi, for bringing under cultivation his lands, now submerged by the restoration of the Mavinhalli Tank, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Gubbi reports, after personal inspection of the spot and local enquiry, that the petitioner had cut 3 channels from the Mavinhalli Tank to take water to his own as well as others' lands and thrown dams at four points in them, and that according to the Ryots he had spent a sum of Rs. 302-4-0 in clearing and reclaiming the then Amist Mahal Kaval lands, in which Jali and other thorny trees had grown.

2. The Amildar recommends compensation

to

Two drafts

Tamil 355 - 2

Two drafts (1)

Proc: of the Govt of Mysore.

Read:- Appeal

Petn Nr 355 dy 27-7-97
from C. Insider Lab of Subbi,
appealing against the dy: Comrs
order declining to grant com-
-pensation for the lands sub-
merged by the restoration of
the Iruvinhalli tank.

L.R 811-96-11

2. Correspondence ending
with letter Nr 491 dy 18-1-97
from the dy: Comrs, Tumkur
Dt: submitting the records
connected with the above and
recommending that a com-
-pensation of Rs 721-10-0, on
the whole might be paid
to the petr.

Nr 1612/8812-00

order Thereon.

8 21/22-2-02

The recommendation of
the dy: Comrs is sanctioned.

C,

Dft:

SRM
19-2-02

For Secretary

19/2/02

To the dy. Comrs, Tumkur Dt,
with records.

Issued
539